



David Keyes & the HiCMA Team Extreme Computing Research Center (ECRC) King Abdullah University of Science and Technology 9 September 2020

Data-sparse Linear Algebra for Large-scale Applications on Emerging Architectures

#### Happy Dennis Ritchie's birthday (born 9 September 1941)



"C is quirky, flawed, and an enormous success."

"The number of UNIX installations has grown to 10, with more expected..."

#### **E-NLA themes**

#### We resonate with several series themes to date:

- low-rank approximation (last 4 talks)
- communication reduction (2 talks)
- randomization (2 talks)
- architectural adaptation (implicit in several talks, explicit here)
  - processor and memory heterogeneity
  - exploitation of low precision
  - high-performance ML-oriented SIMT instructions

These working slides (~8.5MB) are in the "doc" directory at <a href="https://github.com/ecrc/h2opus/">https://github.com/ecrc/h2opus/</a> "ENLA\_20200909.pdf"

An improved set will be posted at the E-NLA site after the Q&A.

#### **Conclusions, up front**

- With controllable trade-offs, many linear algebra operations adapt well to high performance on emerging architectures through
  - higher residency on the memory hierarchy
  - greater SIMT/SIMD-style concurrency
  - reduced synchronization and communication
- Rank-structured matrices, based on uniform tiles or hierarchical subdivision play a major role
- Rank-structured matrix software is here for sharedmemory, distributed-memory, and GPU environments
- Many applications are benefiting
  - by orders of magnitude in memory footprint & runtime

#### **Rank-structured matrices\* – 2 types herein**

- Tile low rank (TLR)
  - all blocks at a single level of subdivision (could in principle vary in size)
- Hierarchically low rank (HLR)
  - blocks are left at various levels upon recursive subdivision
  - weak and strong "admissibility" variants
- HLR more than two decades old
  - Hackbusch (1999), Tyrtyshnikov (2000)
  - Fiedler (1993) defined "structure ranks"
- Prevalent topic in recent SIAM ALA conferences (4 MS at 2018 HKBU mtg)



\* A rank-structured matrix is a matrix with enough low-rank blocks that it pays to take advantage of them (paraphrasing Wilkinson on sparse matrices)

## **Conceptualization of H-matrix construction**



(not an *efficient* algorithm – better in practice to compute tree structure in advance)

#### **Example: 1D Laplacian**



What types of matrices are candidates? Matrices arising from

- covariances
- integral equations with displacement kernels
- Schur complements within discretizations of elliptic and parabolic PDEs
- Hessians from PDE-constrained optimization
- fractional differential equations
- radial basis functions from unstructured meshing
- kernel matrices from machine learning applications

## **Complexities of rank-structured factorization**

#### For a square dense matrix of O(N) :

- "Straight" LU or LDL<sup>T</sup>
  - **Operations**  $O(N^3)$
  - **Storage**  $O(N^2)$
- Tile low-rank (Amestoy, Buttari, L'Excellent & Mary, SISC, 2016)
  - **Operations**  $O(k^{0.5} N^{2.5})$
  - **Storage**  $O(k^{0.5} N^{1.5})$
  - for uniform blocks with size chosen optimally for max rank *k* of any compressed block, bounded number of uncompressed blocks per row
- Hierarchically low-rank (Grasedyck & Hackbusch, *Computing*, 2003)
  - **Operations**  $O(k^2 N \log^2 N)$
  - **Storage** O(k N)
  - for strong admissibility, where *k* is max rank of any compressed block

#### Also relevant to sparse problems

#### **Classical factorizations fill in with elimination**

**For 3D Poisson solver on a cube with** *O*(*N*) **degrees of freedom:** 

- Classical nested dissection generally requires  $O(N^2)$  operations
- Tile low-rank can yield  $O(N^{4/3})$

(Amestoy, Buttari, L'Excellent & Mary, SISC, 2016)

• Hierarchically low-rank methods can yield *O*(*N*)

(Bebendorf & Hackbusch, Numer. Math., 2003)

• Gains come from low-rank treatment of the resulting Schur complements

## What kinds of applications?

#### **Applications that possess**

- memory capacity constraints (e.g., geospatial statistics, PDE-constrained optimization)
- energy constraints (e.g., remote telescopes)
- real-time constraints (e.g., wireless commun.)
- running time constraints (e.g., chem, materials, genome-wide association studies (GWAS))











#### **Geospatial statistics motivation**



"Increasing amounts of data are being by remote sensing instruments and nun while techniques to handle millions of o historically lagged behind. [...] comput: implementations that work with irregul observations are still rare."

- Dorit Hammerling, N



1M X 1M dense sym DP matrix requires 4 TB,  $N^3 \sim 10^{18}$  Flops

#### **Traditional approaches:**

- Global low rank
- Zero outer diagonals

#### **Better approaches:**

- Hierarchical low rank
- Reduced precision outer diagonals

#### **Overall motivations**

- Mathematical aesthetic
  - Rank-structured matrix methods are beautiful algebraic generalizations of fast multipole methods
- Engineering aesthetic
  - Data sparsity allows to tune *storage* and *work* to accuracy requirements
- Software engineering aesthetic
  - Cool stuff finds roles: direct and randomized floating point kernels, tree-traversal from FMM, task-based programming, etc.
- Computer architecture requirement
  - Emerging architectures are met on their terms: limited fast memory per core, SIMT instructions, etc.
- Application opportunities (as cited)

#### **Some "universals" of exascale computing**

#### Architectural imperatives

- Reside "high" on the memory hierarchy, close to the processing elements
- Rely on SIMD/SIMT-amenable batches of tasks at fine scale
- Reduce synchrony in frequency and/or span
- Reduce communication in number and/or volume of messages

#### Strategies in practice

- Exploit extra memory to reduce communication volume
- Perform extra flops to require fewer global operations
- Use high-order discretizations to manipulate fewer DOFs (w/more ops per DOF)
- Adapt floating point precision to output accuracy requirements
- Take more resilience into algorithm space, out of hardware/systems space

#### Strategies in progress

- Employ dynamic scheduling capabilities, e.g., dynamic runtime systems based DAGs
- Code to specialized "back-ends" while presenting high-level APIs to general users
- Exploit data sparsity to meet "curse of dimensionality" with "blessing of low rank"
- Process "on the fly" rather than storing all at once (esp. large dense matrices)
- Co-design algorithms with hardware, incl. computing in the network or in memory

## HPL Top 10 bandwidth trends, 2010-2020





Keren Bergman's lab at Columbia has been tracking architectural trends in memory and networking interconnects for two decades. This slide is updated for Fugaku. The last three #1 systems

TaihuLight (Nov 2017) B/F = 0.004 Summit (June 2018) B/F = 0.0005 Fugaku (June 2020) B/F = 0.303

## **Algorithmic opportunity**

## To achieve the potential of emerging architectures, we need implementations of

- linear solvers
- least squares solvers
- eigensolvers
- singular value solvers

#### that

- offer tunable accuracy-time-space tradeoffs
- exploit hierarchy of precisions
- *may* require more flops but complete earlier, thanks to more concurrency

#### Two universes of NLA exist side-by-side

\* Global indices \*
do i {
 do j {
 for (i,j) in S do op
 }
}

Flat

#### **Hierarchical** \* Local indices \* for matrix blocks (k,l)

do i {

do*j* {

for (i,j) in  $S_{k,l}$  do op

#### Algorithms were once flat (Cholesky, 1910)

Sur la résolution numérique des Systèmes d'équationes huisaires . A. Cholesky La solution des problemes dépendant de donne eppinimentales, qui persente dans artains cas être sommises à des conditions, it auxquelles on applique la méthode des unidres comés est Trypes subritmule au calcul numerique des racines d'un système d'équations linéaires. C'at le cas de la recherche de lois physiques - existe num le cas de la compensation des réseaux gérdenques .- R'est done sitéremant de rechardre son rungen state et aum nuigele que pomile d'effectuar la resolution munerique due motive d'équations linéanes Te proceide que unes allores indiquest s'applique any systemes d'équations dependiques aux quels conduit l'apple mothes de maidre cause, mais nous remargueous tout d'abor que la résolution d'un système de n'équations linéans à n'incomme  $\ell_{11} = \sqrt{a_{11}};$ pent the facilement a namenes à le résolution d'un systeme de n'équation linéaires symétreze à n'incomme for  $j = 2, \cdots, n$  do Considerous en efft le systeme mir and  $\ell_{j1} = a_{j1}/\ell_{11};$  $\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{\hat{\gamma}}_{i} + \mathbf{x}_{5}^{\prime} \mathbf{\hat{\gamma}}_{i} + \mathbf{x}_{5}^{\prime} \mathbf{\hat{\gamma}}_{5} + \cdots + \mathbf{x}_{n}^{\prime} \mathbf{\hat{\gamma}}_{n} + \mathbf{C}_{i} = 0$  $\mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}\gamma_{i} + \mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}\gamma_{i} + \mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}\gamma_{i} + \cdots + \mathbf{x}_{k}^{k}\gamma_{k} + \mathbf{C}_{k} = 0$ end for  $i=2,\cdots,n-1$  do  $x_{n}^{*}y_{1}^{*} + x_{n}^{*}y_{1}^{*} + \dots + x_{n}^{*}y_{n}^{*} + c_{n} = 0$  $\ell_{ii} = (a_{ii} - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \ell_{ik}^2)^{1/2};$ Effectivos la transformation luiciaire requisentes por la spteme : for  $j = i + 1, \cdots, n$  do  $\Pi \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \lambda_{i} = x_{i}^{*}\lambda_{i} + x_{i}^{*}\lambda_{i} + \cdots + x_{i}^{*}\lambda_{m} \\ \gamma_{z} \times x_{c}^{*}\lambda_{i} + x_{c}^{*}\lambda_{m} + \cdots + x_{c}^{*}\lambda_{m} \end{array} \right.$  $\ell_{ji} = \left(a_{ji} - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \ell_{jk} \ell_{ik}
ight) / \ell_{ii};$  $\gamma_{*} \times \chi_{*}^{*} \lambda_{*} + \chi_{*}^{*} \lambda_{*} + - - + \alpha_{*}^{*} \lambda_{*}$ end & system I d'équations I demant barriconnues y  $\ell_{nn} = (a_{nn} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \ell_{nk}^2)^{1/2};$ trove receptace for & system III domand by n siconcess ? permittant à l'aide de II- de calculor le values de p end  $A'_{i}\lambda_{i}+A'_{3}\lambda_{4}+\cdots+A'_{n}\lambda_{n}+C_{i}=$  $A_{i}^{t}\lambda_{i}+A_{v}^{t}\lambda_{z}+\cdots+A_{n}^{t}\lambda_{n}+e_{z}\circ o$ triangular recurrence - + A" 1 + C = 0

#### Architectures were flat, as well (vN, 1945)



## One hierarchy is not so bad...

As humans managing implementation complexity, we would prefer:

- hierarchical algorithms on flat architectures
   or even (suboptimally)
  - flat algorithms on hierarchical architectures

#### ... but two independent hierarchies may not match

- need to marshal irregular structures into uniform batches *and/or*
- feed dynamic runtime queues

## Hierarchical algorithms and extreme scale Must address the *tension* between

- highly uniform vector, matrix, and general SIMT operations *prefer regularity and predictability*
- hierarchical algorithms with tree-like data structures and scale recurrence *possess irregularity and adaptability*



No miracles will appear in this talk  $\boldsymbol{\otimes}$ 

#### Available at https://github.com/ecrc/







# <section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header>











#### H20pus github.com/ecrc/h2opus/

## **Today introducing H2Opus**

#### an $\mathcal{H}^2$ matrix computation library

#### High-level operations

- Hierarchical matrix-matrix multiplication (and re-compression)
- Generation of approximate matrix inverse, via Newton-Schulz iteration
- Formation of Schur complements, via approximate inverses

#### Core utilities

- H-matrix construction from matrix-vector sampling (HARA)
- Low-rank updates (HLRU)
- Matrix compression (Hcompress)
- Basis orthogonalization (Horthog)
- Matrix-vector multiplication (Hgemv)
- Matrix construction from kernel function (Hconstruct)
- Generation of matrix structure from admissibility condition

#### Batched LA for GPUs

- MAGMA
- cuBLAS, KBLAS

#### Manycore LA for CPUs

- OpenMP
- Intel MKL



## **Zoology of H-matrices (not comprehensive)**

	Flat bases	Nested bases
Weak admissibility	HODLR [1]	<b>HSS</b> [2]
Strong admissibility	H [3]	H² [4]

[1] Ambikasaran and Darve, *Journal of Scientific Computing*, 2013
[2] Xia, Chandrasekaran, Gu, and Li, *Numerical Linear Algebra with Applications*, 2010
[3] Hackbusch, *Hierarchical Matrices: Algorithms and Analysis*, Springer, 2015
[4] Börm, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 2007

## Nested bases of $\mathcal{H}^2$ -matrices



• Representation is a triplet of trees. Every block is of the form  $USV^T$ , and bases are nested.

Ambartsumyan, Boukaram, Bui, Ghattas, K., Stadler, Turkiyyah & Zampini, *Hierarchical Matrix Approximations of Hessians Arising in Inverse Problems Governed by PDEs*, SISC, 2020 (to appear)

#### Some other LA software leveraging data sparsity

Package	Technique(s)	Format	Author (year)
ACR	Cyclic reduction	H	Chavez, Turkiyyah & K. (2017)
AHMED	$\mathcal{H}^{\scriptscriptstyle{-1}}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ -LU	H	Bebendorf (2005)
ASKIT	H-LU	HODLR	Yu, March, Xiao & Biros (2016)
BLR PaStiX	Supernodal	BLR	Pichon & Faverge (2017)
CE	H²-LU	H²	Sushnikova & Oseledets (2016)
DMHIF	Multifrontal	ID	Li & Ying (2016)
DMHM	Newton-Schulz	H	Li, Poulson & Ying (2014)
GOFMM	Geometry-oblivious Compression, MV	HODLR	Yu, Reiz & Biros (2018)
H2Lib	$\mathcal{H}^{\scriptscriptstyle{-1}}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ -LU	H²	Christophersen & Börm (2015)
H2Pack	Proxy points compression, MV	H²	Huang, Xing & Chow (2020)
HLib	$\mathcal{H}^{\scriptscriptstyle{-1}}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ -LU	H	Börm, Grasedyck & Hackbusch (2004)
HLibPro	$\mathcal{H}^{\scriptscriptstyle{-1}}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ -LU	H	Kriemann & Hackbusch (2013)
hm-toolbox	numerous	HSS, HODLR	Massei, Robol & Kressner (2020)
LoRaSp	H²-LU	H²	Pouransari, Coulier & Darve (2013)
MF-HODLR	Multifrontal	HODLR	Aminfar & Darve (2016)
MUMPS-BLR	Multifrontal	BLR	Amestoy & Mary (2016)
Structured CHOLMOD	Supernodal	BLR	Chadwick & Bindel (2015)
STRUMPACK	${\cal H}$ -LU, Preconditioning	HSS/BLR/HODLR	Ghysels, Li, Liu & Claus (2020)

### **HiCMA design strategies**

- 1) Employ dynamic runtime systems based on directed acyclic task graphs (DAGs)
  - e.g., PaRSEC, Quark, StarPU, Charm++, Legion, OmpSs, HPX
  - dynamic scheduling capabilities in OpenMP
- 2) Co-design libraries to diverse architectures while presenting high-level application programmer interface
- 3) Exploit data sparsity of rank-structured type (TLR & HLR)
  - meet the "curse of dimensionality" with the "blessing of low rank"

Most of the talk spent here

## 1) Taskification based on DAGs

- Advantages
  - remove artifactual synchronizations in the form of subroutine boundaries
  - remove artifactual orderings in the form of prescheduled loops
  - expose more concurrency
- Disadvantages
  - pay overhead of managing task graph
  - potentially lose some memory locality

#### 1) Reduce over-ordering and synchronization through DAGs, ex.: generalized eigensolver

 $Ax = \lambda Bx$ 



Ltaief, Luszczek, Haidar & Dongarra, Solving the Generalized Symmetric Eigenvalue Problem using Tile Algorithms on Multicore Architectures, Adv Parallel Comp, 2012

# Loop nests and subroutine calls, with their over-orderings, can be replaced with DAGs

- Diagram shows a dataflow ordering of the steps of a 4×4 symmetric generalized eigensolver
- Nodes are tasks, colorcoded by type, and edges are data dependencies
- Time is vertically downward
- Wide is good; short is good



## 2) Co-design to diverse architectures

- Advantages
  - tiling and recursive subdivision create large numbers of small problems that can be marshaled for batched operations on GPUs and MICs
    - amortize call overheads
    - polyalgorithmic approach based on block size
  - non-temporal stores, coalesced memory accesses, double-buffering, etc. reduce sensitivity to memory
- Disadvantages
  - code is more complex
  - code is architecture-specific at the bottom

#### **"Hourglass" model for algorithms** (borrowed from internet protocols)





## 3) Rank-structured operators

- Advantages
  - shrink memory footprints to live higher on the memory hierarchy
    - higher means quick access (↑ arithmetic intensity)
  - reduce operation counts
  - tune work to accuracy requirements
    - e.g., preconditioner versus solver
- Disadvantages
  - pay cost of (re-)compression
  - not all operators compress well

## **Reduce memory footprint and operation complexity with low rank**

- Replace dense blocks with reduced rank representations, whether "born dense" or as arising during matrix operations
  - use high accuracy (high rank) to build "exact" solvers
  - use low accuracy (low rank) to build preconditioners
- Consider hardware parameters in tuning block sizes and maximum rank parameters
  - e.g., cache sizes, warp sizes
- Use randomized SVD (Halko, Martinsson & Tropp, 2009) to form low-rank blocks
  - a flop-intensive GEMM-based flat algorithm
- Implement in "batches" of leaf blocks
  - flattening trees in the case of HLR

## **Tile Low Rank (TLR) is a compromise between optimality and complexity**



T. Mary, PhD Dissertation, Block Low-Rank multifrontal solvers: complexity, performance, and scalability, 2017.

*C. Weisberger*, PhD Dissertation, Improving multifrontal solvers by means of algebraic Block Low-Rank representations, 2013.

## H2Opus features (1)

- Performance-oriented library for  $\mathcal{H}^2$  computations
- Runs on GPUs
  - includes batched QR, RRQR, and SVD dense linear algebra routines
- Can also run on CPU-only machines
  - using shared-memory parallelism (via OpenMP and MKL)
- Can represent weak and strong admissiblity matrix structures
  - using geometric KD-tree partitioning and (user-controllable) admissibility condition
- Constructs kernel matrices from user-specified kernel function
  - for example, covariances matrices from Matérn kernels
- Optimized matrix-vector multiplication ("algebraic FMM")
  - single-vector mult achieving ~80% of peak bandwidth on GPUs
  - multiple vector mults leverage fast GEMMs on GPUs


# H2Opus features (2)

- Generation of Hierarchical Orthogonal Bases
  - via batched QR operations in upsweep through bases trees H20pus
  - followed by expressing matrix blocks in the new orthogonal Q basis
- Hierarchical Matrix Compression
  - ranks increase during algebraic manipulation
  - perform RRQR / SVD operations followed by truncation of bases to desired accuracy
  - followed by expressing matrix blocks in the new bases
- Low Rank Updates
  - allows globally low rank updates to be compressed into a hierarchical matrix
  - "local" updates (that only affect a portion of the matrix) are also supported



# H2Opus features (3)

- Matrix generation from matrix-vector sampling
  - generates hierarchical matrix from a "black-box" operator accessible via mat-vec products



- generalizes highly successful randomized algorithms (for generating globally low-rank approximations of large dense matrices) to the hierarchical setting
- formulated as a sequence of low-rank updates at the various matrix levels
- Matrix-matrix multiplication operation (via randomized sampling)
  - takes advantage of the high-performance of multi-vector sampling
- Approximate inverse computation
  - via Newton-Schulz iteration and its higher-order variants
  - can converge very quickly when warm-started from a nearby solution
    - Hessian of previous iteration in optimization
    - Jacobian of previous iteration in nonlinear solver
- Schur complements
  - and other algebraic expressions that can be sampled

## **Programmed pause**

### **Questions?**

#### We resume with examples of TLR and HLR

## Caveat

- TLR and HLR methods are being applied beyond the rigorous guidance we expect of more traditional linear algebraic methods, as engineered into software
  - e.g., how to choose blockwise tolerances when fitting ranks to satisfy global tolerances for various uses?
- Apologies in advance for examples in this presentation
- Not unlike some compromises that are accepted to increase opportunities for parallelism in full-rank methods
  - e.g., limiting domain of pivoting
- Good news: interesting opportunities for theorists

# **Geospatial statistics model problems**

## Input correlation matrix: random coordinate generation within the unit square or unit cube with Matérn kernel decay

• e.g., linear exp to square exp decay,  $a_{ij} \sim \exp((-c|x_i - x_j|^2))$ 



# Large dense symmetric systems arise as covariance matrices in spatial statistics

- Climate and weather applications have many measurements located regularly or irregularly in a region; prediction is needed at other locations
- Modeled as realization of Gaussian or Matérn spatial random field, with parameters to be fit
- Leads to evaluating the log-likelihood function involving a large dense (but data sparse) covariance

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Z}_{\text{inverse}}^{T \sum_{i=1}^{-1}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathbf{Z} - \frac{1}{2} \log |\sum_{\text{determinant}} \boldsymbol{\theta}|$$

• Determinant and inverse of  $\Sigma$  depend upon Cholesky, dominated by DPOTRF factorization routine (next slides)

## HiCMA TLR vs. Intel MKL on shared memory

Geospatial statistics (Gaussian kernel) to accuracy 1.0e-8

- Three generations of Intel manycore (Sandy Bridge, Haswell, Skylake)
- Two generations of linear algebra (classical dense and tile low rank)



Akbudak, Ltaief, Mikhalev, Charara & K., *Exploiting Data Sparsity for Large-scale Matrix Computations*, Euro-Par 2018

## HiCMA vs. ScaLAPACK on distributed memory



Akbudak, Ltaief, Mikhalev, Charara & K., *Exploiting Data Sparsity for Large-scale Matrix Computations*, EuroPar 2018

# Memory footprint for DP matrix of size 1M



Akbudak, Ltaief, Mikhalev, Charara & K., *Exploiting Data Sparsity for Large-scale Matrix Computations*, EuroPar 2018

## TLR tour de force

Cholesky factorization of a TLR matrix (DPOTRF) derived from Gaussian covariance of random distributions, up to 42M DOFs, on up to 4096 nodes (131,072 Haswell cores) of a Cray XC40

- would require 14.1 PetaBytes in dense DP
- would require 77 days by ScaLAPACK (at the TLR rate of 3.7 Pflop/s)



Cao, Pei, Akbudak, Mikhalev, Bosilca, Ltaief, K. & Dongarra, *Extreme-Scale Task-Based Cholesky Factorization Toward Climate and Weather Prediction Applications*. PASC '20 (ACM), 2020

## **Compress (once) on the fly, solve many with HLU**



Al-Harthi, Alomairy, Akbudak, Chen, Ltaief, Bagci & K., Solving Acoustic Boundary Integral Equations using High Performance Tile Low Rank LU Factorization, Proceedings of ISC High Performance 2020

## Reference





Al-Harthi, Alomairy, Akbudak, Chen, Ltaief, Bagci & K.

Lecture Notes in **Computer Science** 12151:209 (2020, open access)

#### Solving Acoustic Boundary Integral **Equations Using High Performance Tile** Low-Rank LU Factorization

Noha Al-Harthi, Rabab Alomairy<sup>(⊠)</sup>, Kadir Akbudak, Rui Chen, Hatem Ltaief, Hakan Bagci, and David Keves

Extreme Computing Research Center, Computer, Electrical and Mathematical Sciences and Engineering Division, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Thuwal, Jeddah 23955, Saudi Arabia {Noha.Harthi, Rabab.Omairy, Kadir.Akbudak, Rui.Chen, Hatem.Ltaief, Hakan, Bagci, David, Keyes & Qkaust, edu, sa

Abstract. We design and develop a new high performance implementation of a fast direct LU-based solver using low-rank approximations on massively parallel systems. The LU factorization is the most time-

consuming step in solving systems of analyzing acoustic scattering from larg is obtained by discretizing the boundar problem using a higher-order Nyström the inherent data sparsity of the matrix centric approximations while still capt tion. In particular, the proposed LU-b Rank (TLR) data compression format cal Computations on Manycore Archit the complexity of "classical" dense dir order. We taskify the underlying boy fine-grained computations. We then en StarPU to orchestrate the scheduling of distributed-memory systems. The rest mits to compensate for the load imbala while mitigating the overhead of data our TLR LU-based solver and study the ferent numerical accuracies. The new the state-of-the-art dense factorizatio on various parallel systems, for analysi synthetic and real geometries.

Keywords: Tile low-rank LU-based Equations · Acoustic scattering · Tasl Dynamic runtime systems

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-50743-5\_11

© The Author(s) 2020

Berlin, June 22, 2020 nan of the International GCS Award Cor

Gauss Centre for Supercomputing (GCS) e.V. | Alexanderplatz 1 | 10178 Berlin (Germany

Ms. Noha Al-Harthi

Dr. Kadir Akbudak

Mr. Rui Chen

Ms. Rabab Alomairy

to award the

Dr. Claus Axel Müll

Managing Director GCS

P. Sadayappan et al. (Eds.): ISC High Performance

Dr. Hatem Ltaiet Dr. Hakan Bagci Dr. David Keyes

GCS

15C 2020

Check for

of King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Thuwal, KSA

The Board of Directors of the Gauss Centre for Supercomputing (GCS) is pleased

GCS AWARD 2020

for their outstanding scientific work, submitted for the ISC 2020 research paper session

SOLVING ACOUSTIC BOUNDARY INTEGRAL EQUATIONS USING HIGH PERFORMANCE TILE LOW-RANK LU FACTORIZATION

# So far, Tile Low Rank examples ...



<u>Tile Low Rank</u> Operations  $O(k^{0.5} N^{2.5})$ Storage  $O(k^{0.5} N^{1.5})$ 

# **IT GETS BETTER**



<u>Hierarchical Low Rank</u> Operations  $O(k^2 N \log^2 N)$ Storage O(k N)

(for an accuracy-dependent k)

# A prime target for HLR linear algebra: PDE-constrained optimization

- Dense Hessian matrices arise from
  - second variation of data misfit functional in deterministic inverse problems

(2.1)  $\underset{m}{\operatorname{minimize}} J(m) := F(u(m)) + \alpha R(m)$ **data misfit regularization** where the state variables  $u(m) \in \mathbb{R}^N$  depend on the model parameters  $m \in \mathbb{R}^n$  via solution of the discretized PDEs (2.2) g(m, u) := K(m)u - f = 0,

• covariance in Bayesian inversion for quantifying uncertainties in stochastic inverse problems

**Prime target for HLR linear algebra: PDE-constrained optimization** 

- Historical choices
  - abandon prospects for dimension-independent convergence rates in inverse problems by avoiding Hessians
    - a path to nowhere, given future problem scales
  - use globally low-rank Hessian approximation
    - valid for limited information ...
    - ... not where inverse problems want to be, with their many sources and many sensors
- Hierarchical low rank valid in informed regime

# **Hierarchical MatVec by tree-traversal**

- Representation is a triplet of trees. Every block is of the form  $USV^T$ , and bases are nested.
- Informally the matrix is:

$$A_{\mathcal{H}^2} = D + \mathcal{U} \cdot \mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{V}^T$$

• We can perform fast, asymptotically optimal, matrix-vector prod.

$$A_{\mathcal{H}^2}x = Dx + \mathcal{U} \cdot \mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{V}^T \cdot x = Dx + \mathcal{U} \cdot (\mathcal{S} \cdot (\mathcal{V}^T \cdot x)))$$



Ambartsumyan, Boukaram, Bui, Ghattas, K., Stadler, Turkiyyah & Zampini, *Hierarchical Matrix Approximations of Hessians Arising in Inverse Problems Governed by PDEs*, SISC, 2020 (to appear)

# **Hierarchical MatVec execution time**



- 3D covariance matrices from spatial statistics
- running on P100 GPU
- ▶ accuracy  $10^{-3}$  computed as  $||Ax A^{\mathcal{H}}x|| / ||Ax||$
- ▶ leaf size m = 64

Boukaram, Turkiyyah & K., *Hierarchical Matrix Operations on GPUs: Matrix-Vector Multiplication and Compression*, ACM TOMS (2019)

# **Hierarchical MatVec bandwidth**



Compression, ACM TOMS (2019)

# **MatVecs with multiple vectors**



- Speedup over single-vector MatVec
- > Single precision, size  $2^{19}$  (524,288)
- > GPU version obtains 90% of GEMM

K., Ltaief & Turkiyyah, Hierarchical Algorithms on Hierarchical Architectures, Phil Trans Roy Soc Ser A (2020)

# H matrix-H matrix multiplication

- can be cast as the problem of constructing an *H*-matrix from matvec operations
- we can do HGEMV operations efficiently on GPUs
  - HGEMV on multiple vectors is even more efficient
- HARA construction of product also performed efficiently on the GPU

Fast matvecs  $\Rightarrow$  fast approx inversions with Newton-Schulz



Boukaram, Turkiyyah & K., Randomized GPU Algorithms for the Construction of Hierarchical Matrices from MatVec Operations, SISC, 2019

# Hgemv on Summit (1024 GPUs)

(distributed release of H2Opus will follow shortly)

- Spatial statistics application in 2D
- $N = 2^{19}$  points per GPU
- Approximated to an accuracy  $\epsilon = 10^{-7}$



Boukaram, Hierarchical Matrix Operations on GPUs, PhD thesis, 2020 [online @ library.kaust.edu.sa]

# **Optimization examples in SISC paper**

- 1D transient diffusion
  - invert for coefficient
- 2D stationary advectiondiffusion
  - invert for source
- 2D time-domain electromagnetism in diffusive limit
  - invert for coefficient
- 2D frequency-domain wave equation
  - invert for coefficient









# **Inversion example: transient electromagnetic inversion**

- ▶ Important geophysical sensing modality; of interest to Aramco
- ▶ Governing equations are Maxwell's equations in the diffusive limit.
- Electrical conductivity of oil is much lower than that of water, sediments, and salt bodies
- ▶ Time-domain inversion is the next frontier in this area
- ▶ We have developed a custom HPC code (using MFEM, PETSc) and specialized solvers for the simulations
- Below is an example with water, sediments, salt dome, and a T-shape anomaly to recover





Stefano Zampini MFEM, PETSc developer

# **Inversion example: transient electromagnetic inversion**



Inversion History: Newton solutions for 3 mesh continuation steps (top), and norm of the gradient as a function of the Newton step (bottom).

# **Inversion insight: frequency rank dependence**

- frequency domain model problem of the most common sensing modality in geophysical exploration
- Marmousi model excited with different angular frequencies using a single supersource input
- local ranks of Hessians grow better than linearly with frequency



## Reference

### Ambartsumyan, Boukaram, Bui-Thanh, Ghattas, K., Stadler, Turkiyyah & Zampini

### SIAM Journal of Scientific Computing (2020, to appear)

#### HIERARCHICAL MATRIX APPROXIMATIONS OF HESSIANS ARISING IN INVERSE PROBLEMS GOVERNED BY PDES\*

ILONA AMBARTSUMYAN<sup>†</sup>, WAJIH BOUKARAM<sup>‡</sup>, TAN BUI-THANH<sup>†</sup>, OMAR GHATTAS<sup>†</sup>, DAVID KEYES<sup>‡</sup>, GEORG STADLER<sup>§</sup>, GEORGE TURKIYYAH<sup>¶</sup>, AND STEFANO ZAMPINI<sup>‡</sup>

Abstract. Hessian operators arising in inverse problems governed by partial differential equations (PDEs) play a critical role in delivering efficient, dimension-independent convergence for both 6 Newton solution of deterministic inverse problems, as well as Markov chain Monte Carlo sampling of posteriors in the Bayesian setting. These methods require the ability to repeatedly perform such operations on the Hessian as multiplication with arbitrary vectors, solving linear systems, inversion, and (inverse) square root. Unfortunately, the Hessian is a (formally) dense, implicitly-defined operator that is intractable to form explicitly for practical inverse problems, requiring as many PDE solves as inversion parameters. Low-rank approximations are effective when the data contain limited information about the parameters, but become prohibitive as the data become more informative. However, 13 the Hessians for many inverse problems arising in practical applications can be well approximated 14 by matrices that have hierarchically low-rank structure. Hierarchical matrix representations promise to overcome the high complexity of dense representations and provide effective data structures and 16 matrix operations that have only log-linear complexity. In this work, we describe algorithms for 17 constructing and updating hierarchical matrix approximations of Hessians and for constructing their 18 19 inverses. Data parallel versions of these algorithms, appropriate for GPU execution, are presented and studied on a number of representative inverse problems involving time-dependent diffusion. 20 21 advection-dominated transport, frequency domain acoustic wave propagation, and low frequency 22 Maxwell equations, demonstrating up to an order of magnitude speedup.

Key words. Hessians, inverse problems, PDE-constrained optimization, Newton methods,
 hierarchical matrices, matrix compression, log-linear complexity, GPU, low rank updates, Newton Schulz.

26 **AMS subject classifications.** 35Q93, 49N45, 65F30, 65M32, 65F10, 65Y05

27**1.** Introduction. The Hessian operator plays a central role in optimization of systems governed by partial differential equations (PDEs), also known as PDE-28 constrained optimization. While the approach proposed here applies more broadly to 29 30 other PDE-constrained optimization problems including optimal control and optimal design, we will focus on an important class: inverse problems. The goal of an inverse 31 problem is to infer model parameters, given observational data, a forward model or 32 33 state equation (here in the form of PDEs) mapping parameters to observables, and any prior information on the parameters. Often the parameters represent infinite-34 dimensional fields, such as heterogeneous coefficients (including material properties). 35 36 distributed sources, initial or boundary conditions, or geometry. We focus here on this infinite dimensional setting, leading to large scale inverse problems after discretiza-37 38 tion.

The Hessian operator plays a critical role in inverse problems. For deterministic inverse problems, finding the parameters that best fit the data is typically formulated as a regularized nonlinear least squares optimization problem. Its objective

\*Submitted to the editors.

3

**Funding:** This work was supported by the King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST) Office of Sponsored Research (OSR) under Award No: OSR-2018-CARF-3666.

<sup>†</sup>Oden Institute for Computational Engineering and Sciences, The University of Texas at Austin. (ailona@austin.utexas.edu, tanbui@ices.utexas.edu, omar@ices.utexas.edu).

<sup>‡</sup>Extreme Computing Research Center, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology. (wajihhalim.boukaram@kaust.edu.sa, stefano.zampini@kaust.edu.sa, david.keyes@kaust.edu.sa).

<sup>§</sup>Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University. (stadler@cims.nyu.edu).
<sup>¶</sup>Department of Computer Science, American University of Beirut, Lebanon. (gt02@aub.edu.lb).

## **Fractional derivative application**

- 1<sup>st</sup>-order in time, fractional Laplacian in 1D, 2D or 3D space
  - literature is mostly 1D, since operator is dense
  - this example: github.com/ecrc/h2opus/fractional\_diffusion/
- Hot topic for diffusive flux models that are sub-Fickian (e.g., porous media, foamy media)

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta^{\alpha/2} u,$$

where  $\Delta^{\alpha/2} u$  is the *d*-dimensional fractional Laplacian operator of order  $\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 2$ :

$$\Delta^{\alpha/2} u(\mathbf{x}) = C_{\alpha,d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{pv} \frac{u(\mathbf{y}) - u(\mathbf{x})}{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|^{d+\alpha}} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y},$$

and  $C_{\alpha,d}$  is a normalizing constant.

The smooth decaying nature of the kernel allows the discretized operator to be compressed, and therefore represented efficiently by a hierarchical matrix.

# **Smooth particle discretization**

- Uses a smooth particle method, discretizing the d-dimensional spatial domain using a finite set of N particles.
- The *i*-th particle is defined by its position  $\mathbf{x}_i$ , volume  $V_i$ , and strength  $u_i$ , and

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i} V_{i} u_{i} \eta_{\delta}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{i}), \qquad \eta_{\delta}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\delta^{d}} \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}} \exp\left(-|\mathbf{x}|^{2}\right),$$

where  $\eta_{\delta}$  is a smoothed radial kernel of unit mass with a smoothing parameter  $\delta$ .

- The particle positions x are separately evolving as Lagrangian tracers (and may be transported by the underlying medium in the presence of advective terms).
- This allows the discretized fractional diffusion equations to be written as:  $\dot{\mathbf{U}} = A_{N \times N} \mathbf{U}_{N \times 1}$ , where all  $A_{ij}$  entries are nonzero. key operation in explicit integration is a dense mat-vec

# **HLR representation**

- The nature of the kernel allows blocks of A to have low rank representations for any desired approximation level  $\epsilon$
- Sample problem: 2d square region, N particles distributed uniformly, KD-tree binary partitioning produced a clustering, geometric admissibility criterion generates the matrix structure (i.e., blocks that admit low rank representations)
- Resulting matrix structure:



# **Fractional diffusion application**

- construction time and resulting memory footprint for  $\alpha = 1.5$
- target approximation accuracy  $\epsilon = 10^{-5}$
- $\blacksquare$  problems of various sizes up to  $N\sim 2{\rm M}$
- execution hardware: 12-core workstation
- both compression steps have linear complexity



Boukaram, Lucchesi, Turkiyyah, Le Maitre, Knio & K., *Hierarchical Matrix Approximation for Space-fractional Diffusion Equations*, Comp Meths Appl Mech Eng, 2020

# **Fractional diffusion application**

- Overall savings in memory of the hierarchical matrix (dense blocks and compressed blocks) computed to an overall accuracy of  $\epsilon = 10^{-5}$
- Comparison of the hierarchical matrix representation and the (hypothetical) dense representation of the discretized operator
- Substantial reduction in memory, of O(N) vs  $O(N^2)$

N	$\mathcal{H}^2$ Memory (GB)	Dense Memory (GB)
$2^{14}$	0.09	2
$2^{15}$	0.20	8
$2^{16}$	0.40	32
$2^{17}$	0.85	128
$2^{18}$	1.65	512
$2^{19}$	3.47	2048
$2^{20}$	6.74	8192
$2^{21}$	14.0	32768
footprint ratio of 2,339		
for 2M DOFs		

## **Rank variation with accuracy**

- looser accuracy  $\epsilon$  allows more reduction in the ranks of matrix blocks (local ranks) and in the resulting memory footprint
- shown are the memory footprints of the low rank blocks for a range of target accuracies for a problem of size  $N = 2^{20}$
- also shown are the maximum local block ranks for every level of the corresponding hierarchical matrix



# **Scaling of mat-vec**

- mat-vec is the core operation in an explicit time integration scheme
- CPU (12-core) and GPU (Nvidia P100) results are shown  $(\epsilon = 10^{-5})$
- GPU is about 8x faster asymptotically
- linear complexity observed, as expected



## **Newton-Schulz for inverse**

- Matrix inverse approximations may be computed via Newton-Schulz iteration:  $X_{p+1} = (2I - X_p A)X_p$
- or its (more arithmetically intensive) higher-order variants:  $X_{p+1} = X_p \left( I + R_p + \dots + R_p^{l-1} \right) = X_p \sum_{i=0}^{v-1} R_p^i$
- Sample results for inverting  $A + \sigma I$  with an order 16 iteration.  $N = 2^{16}$ . Each iterate constructed to an approximation  $\epsilon$ .



## Newton-Schulz as CG preconditioner

• PCG iterations (
$$\alpha = 1.5, N = 2^{16}$$
)



## Reference

### Boukaram, Lucchesi, Turkiyyah, Le Maitre, Knio & K.

Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 369:113191 (2020)



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com ScienceDirect

Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg. 369 (2020) 113191

Computer methods in applied mechanics and engineering

www.elsevier.com/locate/cma

Hierarchical matrix approximations for space-fractional diffusion equations

Wajih Boukaram<sup>a</sup>, Marco Lucchesi<sup>a</sup>, George Turkiyyah<sup>b</sup>, Olivier Le Maître<sup>c</sup>, Omar Knio<sup>a,\*</sup>, David Keyes<sup>a</sup>

> <sup>a</sup> King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Thuwal 23955, Saudi Arabia <sup>b</sup> Department of Computer Science, American University of Beirut, Beirut, Lebanon <sup>c</sup> Centre de Mathématiques Appliquées, CNRS, Inria, Ecole Polytechnique, Palaiseau, France

Received 18 January 2020; received in revised form 27 May 2020; accepted 29 May 2020 Available online xxxx

#### Abstract

Space fractional diffusion models generally lead to dense discrete matrix operators, which lead to substantial computational challenges when the system size becomes large. For a state of size N, full representation of a fractional diffusion matrix would require  $O(N^2)$  memory storage requirement, with a similar estimate for matrix-vector products. In this work, we present  $\mathcal{H}^2$ matrix representation and algorithms that are amenable to efficient implementation on GPUs, and that can reduce the cost of storing these operators to O(N) asymptotically. Matrix-vector multiplications can be performed in asymptotically linear time as well. Performance of the algorithms is assessed in light of 2D simulations of space fractional diffusion equation with constant diffusivity. Attention is focused on smooth particle approximation of the governing equations, which lead to discrete operators involving explicit radial kernels. The algorithms are first tested using the fundamental solution of the unforced space fractional diffusion equation in an unbounded domain, and then for the steady, forced, fractional diffusion equation in a bounded domain. Both matrix-inverse and pseudo-transient solution approaches are considered in the latter case. Our experiments show that the construction of the fractional diffusion matrix, the matrix-vector multiplication, and the generation of an approximate inverse pre-conditioner all perform very well on a single GPU on 2D problems with N in the range  $10^5 - 10^6$ . In addition, the tests also showed that, for the entire range of parameters and fractional orders considered, results obtained using the  $\mathcal{H}^2$ approximations were in close agreement with results obtained using dense operators, and exhibited the same spatial order of convergence. Overall, the present experiences showed that the  $\mathcal{H}^2$  matrix framework promises to provide practical means to handle large-scale space fractional diffusion models in several space dimensions, at a computational cost that is asymptotically similar to the cost of handling classical diffusion equations. © 2020 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Fractional diffusion; Smooth particle approximation; Hierarchical matrix; Linear complexity; GPU

#### 1. Introduction

Nonlocal continuum models, expressed as fractional differential equations, have gained significant popularity in recent years, as they have shown great success in representing the behavior of a variety of systems in

\* Corresponding author. E-mail address: omar.knio@kaust.edu.sa (O. Knio).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cma.2020.113191 0045-7825/© 2020 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
# Hierarchical Computations on Manycore Architectures: HiCMA\*



\* appearing one thesis at a time at https://github.com/ecrc

## **Some ripe directions**

### • Research

- Heuristics or theory for tuning precisions of block replacements with ultimate purpose(s) for rank-structured matrix in view
- Orderings of DOFs from multidimensional problems that minimize overall TLR and HLR memory footprint
- Point blockings and point-block scalings for multicomponent problems
- Development
  - Generalization to variable rank size at leaves of the HLR tree (currently allocated for a max rank *per level*)
  - Optimizing parallelization of distributed implementation above "C-level" (in analogy to multigrid)

# A falcon flies to where the prey will be ...



... rather than where it is

(2017)

### Those who did the work





Ahmad Abdelfattah Rabab AlOmairy



Wajih Boukaram



Ali Charara



Kadir Akbudak



Hatem Ltaief



George Turkiyyah



Stefano Zampini

### Very special thanks to...



Hatem Ltaief



George Turkiyyah

### Reference

### K., Ltaief & Turkiyyah

Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society Series A 378:20190055 (2020, open access)

#### PHILOSOPHICAL TRANSACTIONS A

#### rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org



Article submitted to journal

Subject Areas: numerical analysis, high performance computing

#### Keywords: computational linear algebra,

hierarchical matrices, exascale architectures

Author for correspondence: D. E. Keyes e-mail: david.keyes@kaust.edu.sa

#### Hierarchical Algorithms on Hierarchical Architectures

#### D. E. Keyes<sup>1</sup>, H. Ltaief<sup>1</sup> and G. Turkiyyah<sup>2</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>Extreme Computing Research Center, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Thuwal 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia
<sup>2</sup>Department of Computer Science, American University of Beirut 1107 2020, Lebanon

A traditional goal of algorithmic optimality, squeezing out flops, has been superseded by evolution in architecture. Flops no longer serve as a reasonable proxy for all aspects of complexity. Instead, algorithms must now squeeze memory, data transfers, and synchronizations, while extra flops on locally cached data represent only small costs in time and energy. Hierarchically low rank matrices realize a rarely achieved combination of optimal storage complexity and high computational intensity for a wide class of formally dense linear operators that arise in applications for which exascale computers are being constructed. They may be regarded as algebraic generalizations of the Fast Multipole Method. Methods based on these hierarchical data structures and their simpler cousins, tile low rank matrices, are well proportioned for early exascale computer architectures, which are provisioned for high processing power relative to memory capacity and memory bandwidth. They are ushering in a renaissance of computational linear algebra. A challenge is that emerging hardware architecture possesses hierarchies of its own that do not generally align with those of the algorithm. We describe modules of a software toolkit, Hierarchical Computations on Manycore Architectures (HiCMA), that illustrate these features and are intended as building blocks of applications, such as matrix-free higher-order methods in optimization and large-scale spatial statistics. Some modules of this open source project have been adopted in the software libraries of major vendors.

THE ROYAL SOCIETY PUBLISHING ⓒ The Authors. Published by the Royal Society under the terms of the Greative Commons Attribution License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/4.0/, which permits unrestricted use, provided the original author and source are credited.

### **Sponsor acknowledgement**



**NVIDIA GPU Research Center** 2012



**Intel Parallel Computing Center** 2015



**Cray Center** of Excellence 2015

### **Conclusions, recapped**

- With controllable trade-offs, many linear algebra operations adapt well to high performance on emerging architectures through
  - higher residence on the memory hierarchy
  - greater SIMT/SIMD-style concurrency
  - reduced synchronization and communication
- Rank-structured matrices, based on uniform tiles or hierarchical subdivision play a major role
- Rank-structured matrix software is here for sharedmemory, distributed-memory, and GPU environments
- Many applications are benefiting
  - by orders of magnitude in memory footprint & runtime

## **Iconographic conclusion**



### **Poetic conclusion**

"Curse of dimension," Can you be mitigated By low rank's blessing?

Vast sea of numbers Can you be described by few As bones define flesh?



# Thank you!

david.keyes@kaust.edu.sa